

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDUARDO CHAPARRO-ESQUIVEL,

Petitioner,

v.

RICK HILL, Warden,

Respondent.

Case No. 18-01749 BLF (PR)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
ANSWER**

(Docket No. 20)

Petitioner, a California prisoner, filed a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On August 1, 2010, the Court issued an order directing Respondent to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.¹ (Docket No. 12.) Respondent has filed a motion for a 30 day extension of time to file an answer. (Docket No. 20.) Having shown good cause, Respondent's motion is **GRANTED**. Respondent shall file an answer **no later than October 30, 2018**. Petitioner shall file a traverse **within thirty (30) days** of the filing of the answer.

This order terminates Docket Number 20.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 1, 2018



BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge

¹ On June 4, 2018, this action was reassigned to this Court after Respondent declined magistrate jurisdiction. (Docket No. 11)